

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/565,469	01/19/2006	Tobias Melz	1033832-000013	9936	
2889 7590 900042008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3683			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/04/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/565.469 MELZ ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3683 Lan Nguyen All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Lan Nauven. (2) Claude Hamrick. (4)____. Date of Interview: 27 August 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: None. Identification of prior art discussed: Katsumi and Sasaki et al. . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hamrick explains the distinctions between the instant invention and the teaching of Sasaki wherein element 14 of Sasaki is not considered to meet the claimed pretensioning device. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Xuan Lan Nguyen/ 8/27/08